



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,461	11/26/2003	Eigo Nakagawa	117863	2767

25944 7590 10/19/2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. BOX 19928  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, JIMMY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2829

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/721,461	Applicant(s) NAKAGAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Jimmy Nguyen	Art Unit 2829	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2005.
- 2a)  This action is FINAL.                      2b)  This action is non-final.
- 3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4)  Claim(s) 1 -46 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) 25 - 29, 33 - 46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6)  Claim(s) 1 -24, 30 - 32 is/are rejected.
- 7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \*    c)  None of:
  - 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1103.
- 4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2829

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Election/Restriction**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of figures 1 – 9 claims 1 - 24 and 30 – 32 in the reply filed on 7/27/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all species is sufficiently related. This is not found persuasive because the other specie has two different support layers on the top and bottom of the printed circuit board.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### ***Drawings***

1. Figure 21 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2829

3. Claims 1 –11, 14 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soiferman (US 5,517,110).

**As to claim 1**, Soiferman discloses ( fig 4) a circuit board inspection device for inspecting operation of a circuit board having a predetermined part or wire formed therein, comprising:

a supporting substrate (41) disposed substantially in parallel with a parts (46c) mounting surface of the circuit board (46); and

a signal change detection unit (43) disposed in a position of the supporting substrate (41) corresponding to the part or wire of the circuit board (46), with the supporting substrate (41) being disposed substantially in parallel with the circuit board (46).

**As to claim 2**, Soiferman discloses ( fig 4) The circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein the signal change detection unit (41) includes a coil (42a, 42b) for generating an induction voltage in accordance with a magnetic field generated from a current flowing through the part (46c).

**As to claims 3, 14 – 16**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein the signal change detection unit (41) includes an impedance component ( see fig 2) or capacitive, inductive or resistive for generating electrical potential information in accordance with a change of a signal flowing through the wire.

**As to claim 4**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein the supporting substrate (41) is made of a thin substrate having flexibility.

**As to claim 5**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein the supporting substrate (41) has substantially the same dimensions as the circuit board (46).

**As to claim 6**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein a hole for avoiding the supporting substrate to come into contact with the predetermined part (46c) of the circuit board (46) is formed in a position of the supporting substrate (41) corresponding to the part, with the supporting substrate being disposed substantially in parallel with the circuit board.

**As to claim 7**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein the supporting substrate (41) is provided to be assemblable into a box (40), and the supporting substrate (41) assembled into a box disposed substantially in parallel with the circuit board (46).

Art Unit: 2829

**As to claims 8, 9**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim wherein the coils (42a, 42b) wound around the supporting substrate (41) correspondingly to an outer circumference of the circuit board (46) and parts (46c).

**As to claim 10**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 2, wherein the coil wound around the supporting substrate correspondingly to a position of a terminal of the part.

**As to claim 11**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim wherein the is wound around the supporting substrate to a position of an input/output connector correspondingly the circuit board.

**As to claim 17**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to claim 3, wherein the impedance component ( see figure 2) is disposed on the supporting substrate (41) so as to substantially cross a direction of the wire of the circuit board (46) at right angles.

**As to claims 18, 19**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein the signal change detection unit (41) is disposed astride a plurality of layers of the support substrate and the coil is formed with an equal number of turns for each of a plurality of layers of the supporting substrate.

**As to claim 20**, Soiferman discloses ( figs, 2, 4) the capacitive component ( fig 2) is made of electrodes provided in two of a plurality of layers of the supporting Substrate (41).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 12, 13, 21 –24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soiferman (US 5,517,110).

**As to claims 12, 13**, Soiferman discloses ( fig 4) the claimed invention except for the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 2 wherein there are a plurality of the circuit boards, and the coil is wound around the supporting substrate correspondingly to a position of a cable connecting the circuit boards. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to test plurality of pcb, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art .St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8

**As to claims 21 - 24**, Soiferman discloses ( fig 4) the claimed invention except for the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, wherein a plurality of the signal change detection units are provided, and one-side terminals of the signal change detection units are connected in common. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have multiple signal detection unit, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art .St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

6. Claims 30 – 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soiferman (US 5,517,110) in view of Harzanu et al (US 6,759,850).

**As to claim 30**, Soiferman discloses everything except for the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, further comprising:

a signal check portion for comparing a signal detected by the signal change detection unit with a normal signal stored in advance; and

a diagnosis portion for performing diagnosis as to whether the portion to be inspected operates normally or not, based on a comparison result of the signal check portion.

On the other hand, Harzanu et al teach (fig 1) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 1, further comprising:



a signal check portion (26) for comparing a signal detected (23) by the signal change detection unit (16) with a normal signal stored in advance (40); and

a diagnosis portion for performing diagnosis as to whether the portion to be inspected operates normally or not, based on a comparison result of the signal check portion.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Soiferman with the checking portion of Harzanu et al for the purpose of the checking the detected signal.

**As to claim 31**, Hazanu et al (fig 1) teach the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 30, wherein the signal check portion and/or the diagnosis portion are provided on the supporting substrate.

**As to claim 32**, Hazanu et al (fig 1) the circuit board inspection device according to Claim 30, wherein the signal check portion and the diagnosis portion are provided outside the supporting substrate.

### **Conclusion**


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1965. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ramtez Nestor, can be reached on 571- 272- 2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jimmy Nguyen

10/14/2005

  
VINH NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
A.U. 2829  
10/17/05